

Store Closes To-Day at 1 P. M.

Miller & Rhoads

New Dress Goods, \$1.00 yd.

The newest things from domestic and foreign manufacturers are pouring in here by every boat and train. Among the latest arrivals are two especially handsome fabrics for tailored suits at \$1 yard.

One is STRIPED SUITING, 56 inches wide, in a big assortment of beautiful effects of navy, olive, hunter's green, brown and garnet. The styles are the prettiest we've ever had in Fancy Stripe Suitings at \$1 yard.

Our CHEVRON STRIPE SUITINGS at the same price, \$1 yard, are in the same class of excellent values.

The widths run from 42 to 46 inches. The colors are the newest creations in Taupe, London smoke, tobacco, laurel, navy, Copenhagen and black.

The Miller & Rhoads Dress Goods Department is a style emporium.—You'll find it the best place in Richmond to get inspiration and knowledge as to "what's new" in dress fabrics for the coming season.

Post Card Albums Special Prices To-Day

We've just secured a lot of Sample Albums to sell at from one-third to one-half their regular price. All new goods. 10c, 15c, 25c, 50c, 75c worth from 15c to \$1.25.

MYSTERY CLEARED BY WOMAN'S PHOTOGRAPH

Body Found by Railroad Track That of Richmond Man.

SISTER RECOGNIZES PICTURE

W. F. Kendall Had Only Empty Pocketbook—Authorities Suspect Foul Play.

After having been buried two weeks, the body of a man found on the Chesapeake and Ohio tracks near Roxbury was identified by his sister, Miss Eva Kendall, yesterday, as that of W. F. Kendall, of Richmond. When found there was nothing on the body by which it might be identified save a small pocketbook containing the photograph of a woman. Miss Kendall recognized the book as one her brother had carried, and the picture as that of his wife. The affair was a mystery at Roxbury, and the relatives of Kendall probably would never have known what became of him had they not heard of the mysterious unknown killed by the train—either by falling from it, or by being thrown off.

Mrs. Kendall, who lives at No. 1703 East Main Street, had been uneasy about her son for some days. As soon as she heard of the Roxbury mystery she sent her daughter, Miss Eva Kendall, to see if the dead man was her

son. The young woman was accompanied by a friend, W. L. Sutton. Though the picture was about seven years old, it was easy to see that it was one of Kendall's wife about the time of their marriage.

Stealing a Title.

When he was killed, Kendall was heading his way to Newport News with two friends, Jesse D. Isaacs and Richard Hamilton. It is believed. His relatives say he was in the habit of making this trip often.

The authorities at Roxbury, according to a dispatch received here yesterday evening, seem to believe Kendall came to his death as a result of foul play, and it is said they will hold the men who were with him as witnesses.

Neither Mrs. Kendall nor the rest of her family, however, share this opinion. They declared last night they were sure death resulted from an accident. The young man who was with him, they declared, had been Kendall's companions and friends since boyhood and had always been like brothers to him. Then, too, the young man had no money on him, and he had been seen leaving Richmond, hence robbery as a motive was eliminated.

Kendall was twenty-seven years of age. He was married, but had not lived with his wife for five years.

It is Mrs. Kendall's desire that her son be buried in the city. She brought to this city for burial, but since she is a poor woman, she will probably not be able to raise the money to cover the funeral expenses. Kendall, who lives at No. 2000 East Main Street, was also seen last night. She knew the circumstances of his death except from what she had heard from the members of his family. In the squalid little attic room of the dead man's mother, no one blamed Kendall's companions or any one else for his death.

DEMOCRATS ARE GETTING IN LINE

State and City Committees Both to Meet Shortly for Conference.

WILL WAGE ACTIVE FIGHT

Party Leaders Count on Big Bryan Majority, and Nine, If Not Ten, Congressmen.

Democratic leaders in the city and State are preparing to make a terrific fight this fall for the purpose of rolling up the strongest possible majority for Bryan, and electing all their nominees for Congress.

State Chairman Ellison is displaying much activity in a quiet way along the line of organizing in the various counties and cities, and he will in a short time call a meeting of the State central and executive committees in this city for an important conference.

The call will be issued in a few days, and the meeting will be held at Murphy's Hotel about the middle of the month. City Chairman James B. Doherty will call the local committee into session, and the two organizations here will then be pressed.

Strong speakers are expected to appear for the Democrats in Richmond before the campaign is over. This is not on account of any fear that the Republicans will come anywhere near winning here, but in order to get a large majority for the ticket. The formation of local Bryan clubs in this section of the State will be shortly taken up, and it is expected that a general will be organized in Richmond.

Republican Nominees.

Republican candidates for Congress have been put up in all the districts save the Fourth, and it is said that if the Democrats win in the Fourth, they will probably fall to Postmaster Smith, of Petersburg.

The other districts have named candidates as follows:

First—George Nelms Wise, Newport.

Second—D. Lawrence Groner, Norfolk.

Third—John G. Luce, Gloucester.

Fourth—J. M. Parsons, Grayson.

Fifth—Merced Hartman, Roanoke.

Sixth—C. L. Priester, Albemarle.

Seventh—John W. Gregg, Loudoun.

Eighth—C. B. Slomp, Wise.

Ninth—W. C. Franklin, Appomattox.

The full list of Democratic nominees has been recently printed in this paper.

The only new one is former Senator J. Cloyd Byars, of the Ninth District. The Democratic incumbents from the other nine districts were renominated, and most of them without opposition.

Only one district in the State is considered a toss-up, and that is the Ninth, which has been given to the Democrats by a decade, but the Democrats are claiming it this year, with apparently some hope of victory. The Fifth was close two years ago, but the last Legislature took away the county, which usually gives 700 or 800 Republican votes, and placed it in the Sixth.

It is thought that Judge E. W. Saunders will carry the district with ease this year. It is safe to predict that Virginia will send nine and possibly ten Democrats to the Sixty-first Congress.

INVASION OF NORTH

Blues Getting Ready to Conquer New England Peacefully.

Active preparations for the start of the Richmond Light Infantry Blues for Boston next Saturday have begun in earnest, and each day witnesses a busier scene at the armory than the day before.

The sergeants of the three companies are turning in the baggage, both company and private, which will be taken along, and the battalion quartermaster, with a detail of privates, is busy packing up and getting the equipment in shape for the trip.

Orders have been issued commanding the battalion to assemble at the armory Saturday at 2:10. First call will be sounded at 2:15, and assembly at 2:25.

The men will then be marched to the Chesapeake and Ohio Railway station, where at 3 o'clock they will board a special train for Newport News.

Including field and staff officers, the battalion will probably number from 150 to 180 men, besides the band, which will be composed of about 25 members.

The sergeants of each company are busy completing a list of the members who will accompany the battalion, and to verify the exact number will be given out.

The men will then be marched to the Chesapeake and Ohio Railway station, where at 3 o'clock they will board a special train for Newport News.

Including field and staff officers, the battalion will probably number from 150 to 180 men, besides the band, which will be composed of about 25 members.

The sergeants of each company are busy completing a list of the members who will accompany the battalion, and to verify the exact number will be given out.

The men will then be marched to the Chesapeake and Ohio Railway station, where at 3 o'clock they will board a special train for Newport News.

Including field and staff officers, the battalion will probably number from 150 to 180 men, besides the band, which will be composed of about 25 members.

The sergeants of each company are busy completing a list of the members who will accompany the battalion, and to verify the exact number will be given out.

The men will then be marched to the Chesapeake and Ohio Railway station, where at 3 o'clock they will board a special train for Newport News.

Including field and staff officers, the battalion will probably number from 150 to 180 men, besides the band, which will be composed of about 25 members.

The sergeants of each company are busy completing a list of the members who will accompany the battalion, and to verify the exact number will be given out.

The men will then be marched to the Chesapeake and Ohio Railway station, where at 3 o'clock they will board a special train for Newport News.

Including field and staff officers, the battalion will probably number from 150 to 180 men, besides the band, which will be composed of about 25 members.

The sergeants of each company are busy completing a list of the members who will accompany the battalion, and to verify the exact number will be given out.

The men will then be marched to the Chesapeake and Ohio Railway station, where at 3 o'clock they will board a special train for Newport News.

Including field and staff officers, the battalion will probably number from 150 to 180 men, besides the band, which will be composed of about 25 members.

The sergeants of each company are busy completing a list of the members who will accompany the battalion, and to verify the exact number will be given out.

The men will then be marched to the Chesapeake and Ohio Railway station, where at 3 o'clock they will board a special train for Newport News.

Including field and staff officers, the battalion will probably number from 150 to 180 men, besides the band, which will be composed of about 25 members.

The sergeants of each company are busy completing a list of the members who will accompany the battalion, and to verify the exact number will be given out.

The men will then be marched to the Chesapeake and Ohio Railway station, where at 3 o'clock they will board a special train for Newport News.

Including field and staff officers, the battalion will probably number from 150 to 180 men, besides the band, which will be composed of about 25 members.

The sergeants of each company are busy completing a list of the members who will accompany the battalion, and to verify the exact number will be given out.

The men will then be marched to the Chesapeake and Ohio Railway station, where at 3 o'clock they will board a special train for Newport News.

Including field and staff officers, the battalion will probably number from 150 to 180 men, besides the band, which will be composed of about 25 members.

The sergeants of each company are busy completing a list of the members who will accompany the battalion, and to verify the exact number will be given out.

BECK'S CHARGES NOT SUSTAINED

School Board Supports Its Own Architect and Contractor.

WILL HAVE TWO INSPECTORS

Friction Between Council and School Board Expensive to City of Richmond.

Evidences of friction between the City Council and the School Board were not lacking in the investigation held yesterday morning of the charges made by Building Inspector Henry P. Beck that the concrete used in the foundations of the new High School building at a certain time on last Monday was not mixed in accordance with the specifications.

The building committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

The committee of the School Board took action upholding the architect, Mr. Charles K. Bryant, and the upshot of the controversy is that hereafter the city will bear the cost of two systems of inspection—that made by the supervising architect for the School Board and that conducted by the Building Inspector for the City Council.

LAY CORNER-STONE OF NEW SYNAGOGUE

Masonic Ceremonies Are to Mark Beginning of Work on Jewish Temple.

HISTORY OF CONGREGATION

Ancient Body Will Move Into Handsome New House of Worship.

Services of an elaborate and beautiful character will to-day mark the laying of the corner-stone of the new synagogue of the Temple Congregation, Keneseth Israel on Nineteenth Street, between Broad and Grace Streets.

Those Masonic ceremonies will be conducted by Fraternal Lodge No. 55, Ancient, Free and Accepted Masons; Temple Chapter, No. 32, Royal Arch Masons, acting as escort. The Most Worshipful Grand Master of Masons of Virginia, Joseph W. Eggleston, will preside, assisted by the Grand Marshal of the Grand Lodge of Virginia, Major W. McK. Evans.

On the composition of the new congregation, the position of Superintendent of Public Charities, made vacant by the retirement of George B. Davis, Mr. Lawrence, said he had the matter under consideration, but had not yet decided to announce his candidacy. Other candidates are making a canvass for the position, but no one has been nominated.

A choir of some forty voices will have charge of the music of the occasion, and the full Masonic ceremony for the dedication of a sacred edifice will be used. In the cornerstone will be a history of the congregation, some coins of the present date, copies of the Richmond newspapers with the autograph signatures of their editors and other papers of historical character. The prayer will be offered by Rabbi David Kiehl, and the address of the day will be delivered by Rabbi David Kiehl.

The congregation of Keneseth Israel was organized in 1856. In 1863 the temple was destroyed by fire, and a new temple was erected on Mayo Street and dedicated September 1, 1869. The present officers of the congregation are:

A. Schefferman, rabbi; Henry J. Cohn, president; Philip Hirschberg, vice-president; Isaac Hirschberg, secretary; S. Jacobson, treasurer.

Board of Managers—Henry J. Cohn, president; Philip Hirschberg, vice-president; Isaac Hirschberg, secretary; S. Jacobson, treasurer.

Committee on the New Temple—H. J. Cohn, chairman; Philip Hirschberg, Abraham Cohn, S. Jacobson, Moses Brown, J. Cohn, L. Silverman, Henry Harris, A. Lutto, W. Waite, J. C. Bernstein, Archie Steiner, I. Cohen, Joseph Phillips.

Chairman of Committee on Arrangements for Dedication, Samuel Steiner.

BETTER GIVE HIMSELF UP

Henrico's "Cyclone" Leaves Word at Crestfallen and Heturus Hopeful.

Constable Cyclone Samuel returned to the Henrico Courthouse yesterday afternoon from a trip in quest of Other Carroll, who took out a warrant for the arrest of Four-Mile Creek Baptist Church on Monday night, alighted from his sulky, telling the story of how Carroll was crouching in the forests of Henrico.

But the officer has not lost hope. He believes that he will be in possession of Carroll very shortly, though he fears the successful escape of Robinson, as the latter is now safe out of the county. When asked what basis he had for hoping to capture Carroll, the intrepid officer complacently replied: "O, well, I left word at his house that he had better give himself up."

MAY BUY PINE BEACH

Colored People After the Amusement Resort Near Jamestown Exposition.

A mass-meeting of the colored people of the city will be held to-night in Price's Hall, on Second Street, for the purpose of inaugurating a movement towards securing funds for purchasing the White City, near Pine Beach, on Hampton Roads, for a colored amusement and summer resort.

The committee composed of some of the most influential colored citizens of the city, which was appointed to look into the matter of purchasing the resort, returned yesterday, and will report the result of its trip at the meeting to-night. It is expected that the meeting to-night will be largely attended, and the colored speakers from other parts of the State will make addresses in the interest of the meeting.

Colored People After the Amusement Resort Near Jamestown Exposition.

A mass-meeting of the colored people of the city will be held to-night in Price's Hall, on Second Street, for the purpose of inaugurating a movement towards securing funds for purchasing the White City, near Pine Beach, on Hampton Roads, for a colored amusement and summer resort.

The committee composed of some of the most influential colored citizens of the city, which was appointed to look into the matter of purchasing the resort, returned yesterday, and will report the result of its trip at the meeting to-night. It is expected that the meeting to-night will be largely attended, and the colored speakers from other parts of the State will make addresses in the interest of the meeting.

Colored People After the Amusement Resort Near Jamestown Exposition.

A mass-meeting of the colored people of the city will be held to-night in Price's Hall, on Second Street, for the purpose of inaugurating a movement towards securing funds for purchasing the White City, near Pine Beach, on Hampton Roads, for a colored amusement and summer resort.

The committee composed of some of the most influential colored citizens of the city, which was appointed to look into the matter of purchasing the resort, returned yesterday, and will report the result of its trip at the meeting to-night. It is expected that the meeting to-night will be largely attended, and the colored speakers from other parts of the State will make addresses in the interest of the meeting.

Colored People After the Amusement Resort Near Jamestown Exposition.

A mass-meeting of the colored people of the city will be held to-night in Price's Hall, on Second Street, for the purpose of inaugurating a movement towards securing funds for purchasing the White City, near Pine Beach, on Hampton Roads, for a colored amusement and summer resort.

The committee composed of some of the most influential colored citizens of the city, which was appointed to look into the matter of purchasing the resort, returned yesterday, and will report the result of its trip at the meeting to